



North Devon Council

Report Date: 29th April 2022

Topic: Planning and Enforcement Appeal Decisions received since last report to Planning Committee. See Agenda for Planning Committee held on 13th April 2022. Update on Planning Appeal Inquiry re application 60823, land at former Yelland Power Station.

Report by: Sue Thomas – Senior Planning Support Officer (Appeals)

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. This report is to inform Planning Committee Members of the Planning and Enforcement Appeal Decisions received from the Planning Inspectorate.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1. That Members and other interested parties note the appeal decisions reported.

3. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1. The Appeal Decisions are reported for general information and to enable consideration of any implications they may have on future cases.

4. REPORT

4.1 No Planning or Enforcement Appeal Decisions have been received since the last Planning Committee (13th April 2022) .

4.2 The Planning Appeal Inquiry in respect of the refused planning application at the former Yelland Power Station (60823) has now closed in respect of any further oral or written submissions apart from those requested by the Inspector (Mr Normington). No other documents, other than those requested, will be accepted.

4.3 The Inspector will consider all the documents and evidence that has been submitted and presented to him and will make his decision in due course. Once the appeal decision has been made it will be published online both on our website (<https://planning.northdevon.gov.uk/Search/Advanced>) and on the Appeals Portal (<https://acp.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/>)

4.4 The appeal documents remain available to view on our website.

5. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1. Where an application by the appellant for an award of Appeal Costs is successful it is expected that this will be paid from the Planning budget (financial resource implication)
- 5.2. Where an application by the LPA for an award of Appeal Costs from the appellant is successful it is expected that this will be paid into the Planning budget (financial resource implication)
- 5.3. There may be a financial implication if Consultants are employed to confirm that any claim for Appeal Costs is reasonable/acceptable (financial resource implication)
- 5.4. There may be a call on NDC staff (Planning/Legal) to confirm that any claim for Appeal Costs is reasonable/acceptable (staffing resource implication)

6. EQUALITIES ASSESSMENT

- 6.1. There are not any equalities implications anticipated as a result of this report.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

- 7.1. It is considered by the Author that, as this report is simply to advise Planning Committee Members and other interested parties of recent Planning and Enforcement Appeal decisions, there are no Environmental implications arising from its contents.

8. CORPORATE PRIORITIES

- 8.1. What impact, positive or negative, does the subject of this report have on:
 - 8.1.1. The commercialisation agenda: - Positive as will learn lessons to reduce potential costs awards against the Council
 - 8.1.2. Improving customer focus and/or – Positive as will learn lessons for future consideration
 - 8.1.3. Regeneration or economic development – Positive as will learn lessons for future consideration

9. CONSTITUTIONAL CONTEXT

- 9.1. Article of Part 3 Annexe 1 paragraph: - Not applicable
- 9.2. Referred or delegated power? – Not applicable

10. STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This report contains no confidential information or exempt information under the provisions of Schedule 12A of 1972 Act.

11. BACKGROUND PAPERS

The following background papers were used in the preparation of this report: (The background papers are available for inspection and kept by the author of the report).



12. STATEMENT OF INTERNAL ADVICE

The author (below) confirms that advice has been taken from all appropriate Councillors and Officers:

Sue Thomas – Senior Planning Support Officer (Appeals) - Planning, Housing & Health